

CLIMATE NEUTRAL AND SMART CITIES





Call for Pilot Cities Cohort 3: Monitoring, Evaluation, Learning & Sensemaking

13 February 2024





Welcome

Call launched:

- Call Guidelines published
- Submission platform open
- Supporting documents published (Call Guidelines, Financial Guidelines; Guidebook; Application templates and pro formas) – Updated version of Impact Framework template and indicators list will be uploaded soon!

Scheduled webinars:

- Tuesday 23 January (1100 CEST): Ambition & Approach, technical information
- Tuesday 6 February (1100 CEST): Eligibility and Assessment Criteria
- Tuesday 13 February (1100 CEST): Monitoring, Evaluation, Learning & Sensemaking
- Wednesday 21 February (1100 CEST): Boot Camp & Twinning Cities Learning Programme

Register for all at the NZC website: www.netzerocities.eu (Pilot Cities Programme page)



Housekeeping

This Webinar...

Is addressed to Mission Cities who **are not** yet a Pilot City within the Pilot City Programme and wish to undertake two-year, systems innovation-oriented pilot activities.



This event is being recorded

Use the Q&A functionality to ask questions

Re/Name yourself and include your city and department







How to use the Q&A

1) Type down your questions



We request questions to be relevant to the content of today's webinar

2) Vote up the questions







Disclaimer

- Please note that the following slides are non-binding and for reference only. The NetZeroCities Pilot Cities Call Guidelines as available on the NetZeroCities website remain the definite official document.
- Make sure you read the most up-to-date Call Guidelines available on our website including all associated documents before starting your application.



Pilot Cities Programme Team



Pilot Cities and Twinning Learning Programme – **EIT Urban Mobility**: Sigrid Ehrmann and Jehan Bhikoo Overarching communication – **LGI** – Clea Prieto

E: pilotcities@netzerocities.eu





Key speakers for today



Nikhil Chaudhary Strategic Learning & Impact Lead EIT Climate-KIC



Ghazal Etminan

Thematic Coordinator & Senior Research Engineer

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology





Today's agenda

- Introduction and Housekeeping: 5 mins
- NZC Impact Framework to create your impact logic and pathways: 15 mins
- PCP Indicators Set to measure and report direct & indirect impacts:15 mins
- Sensemaking & Peer-to-peer Learning to enable reflexive governance: 10 mins
- Guided tour of the Impact Framework template (Sections 1-3): 10 mins
- Closing and next steps: 5 mins







Creating an 'Impact Framework' to enable Monitoring, Evaluation & Learning (MEL)

Nikhil Chaudhary, EIT Climate KIC



Recap: Assessment Criteria for 'Impact'







Recap: Assessment Criteria – 'Impact'

- Learnings from interventions are continuously captured, measured, and fed into pilot activities, policies and new actions
- Promoting and systemising learning outputs or insights to make them scalable and transferable
- Envisioning multi-dimensional and systemic impacts from pilot activities at an early stage
- Co-benefits as additional impacts or positive side-effects of climate mitigation or adaptation interventions - a meaningful integration of co-benefits can help build interdepartmental collaboration and support for direct climate action by highlighting impacts on the everyday lives of citizens
- Multi-level & reflexive governance approach that fosters transparency, inclusion, accountability as integral to implementation to drive development and improvement of pilot activities



Impact Framework (aka Impact Logic): why needed?

- Systemic impacts are **complex**, **multi-dimensional**, **uncertain**, **non-linear** and may take a long time to occur
- Many co-benefits are subjective (governance, behaviour change, social impact etc.) and difficult to define
- Steps to achieve some critical impacts may be outside the city's control or mandate
- Need to agree on a shared understanding of what 'good' looks like and **build consensus**
- Look for the right evidence and data for realising and communicating impacts to all stakeholders
- **Continuously** measure change as it happens, not after!



"I THINK YOU SHOULD BE MORE EXPLICIT HERE IN STEP TWO,"

Cartoon by Sydney Harris Inc.



'Impact Pathways' tell a story about how systemic transformation is expected to unfold...

Fundamental and connected mechanisms through which complex longterm systems transition is envisioned and managed







This project has received funding from the H2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement n°101036519.

...to allow us to evaluate outcomes as they happen, not only whether the final target was (or wasn't) achieved





This project has received funding from the H2020 Research and Innovation Programme under grant agreement n°101036519.

NZC Impact Framework







Impact Pathways example 01 – Technological innovation & infra.



Portfolio





Impact Pathways example 02 – Citizen participation







Funde

Funded by the European Union

Impact Pathways example 04 – Finance innovation & funding





Impact Pathways example 05 – Governance & policy innovation



Interventions



Portfolio

Early Outcomes (1-2 years)

Later Outcomes (3-4 years)





Outcome (during PCP 2-years)



Impact (after PCP 2-years)



Pilot Cities 'gardening' for systemic transformation:

Think of your Impact Framework as your Pilot's timeline & contribution







Starting points for creating your Impact Logic...

- What **changes** (outcomes) is the Pilot seeking?
- Which **benefits/impacts** is the Pilot aiming to achieve?
- When does the Pilot expect to achieve these changes (earlier and later)?
- Where and under what **conditions** is this going to happen?
- How do you think it will work in practice and how will one change **lead to** another?
- Which **direct impacts and co-benefits** occur when the changes begin to happen?
- What will your city and stakeholders and other partners do to make the changes happen (activities or actions)?
- Are there any **barriers** that may prevent making these changes happen? (risks)



Guiding Questions to finalise your Impact Logic

0

- Does this set of outcomes sufficiently capture the *intent or goal* of the Pilot? If not, what's missing?
- Are the outcomes clearly and *specifically* defined? (i.e., one outcome statement)
- Are there any gaps in the impact pathways? (e.g., is there an intermediate outcome that needs to be included)
- Are the causal links as *mechanisms* for change clear? Can they be explained as a story?
- What's the evidence that supports the links between the various Impact Logic elements? Any
 existing evidence or data sources? If not, what are the evidence gaps?
- How do the planned *activities* connect and contribute to the outcomes?
- Which are the common outcomes *across multiple levers*? How could similar outcomes be clustered into combinations as a single bold impact statement for coordinated interventions?



Some helpful resources with weblinks:



- MOTION Handbook: Developing A Transformative Theory Of Change (Transformative Innovation Policy Consortium)
- MOVE21: Reflective Monitoring Guide
- Impact Pathways: Tracking and communicating the impact of the European Framework Programme for research and innovation (2019) and short presentation here (2018)
- <u>Reflection Methods: Tools to make learning more meaningful Practical Guide for Trainers and Facilitators</u> (Wageningen University & Research)
- Hivos Theory of Change thinking in practice: A stepwise approach
- <u>Building a Culture of Learning at Scale: Learning Networks for Systems Change. A Scoping Paper (Orange Compass for the Paul Ramsay Foundation)</u>
- Building a Culture of Learning: Teaching a Complex Organization How to Fish. The Foundation Review, 11(1).
- Measurement for Learning: Values & Principles (Centre for Public Impact)
- Human Learning Systems reports and related resources (Centre for Public Impact)
- UNDP Sensemaking Workshop and Facilitation Guide













NZC Integrated Monitoring system & PCP Indicators

Ghazal Etminan,

AIT Austrian Institute of Technology



Funded by the European Union

Our Starting Points: The Impact Pathways O and the Integrated Monitoring System





DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR NAME	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT	•	Investment in R&I	Å	Research intensity			
		貵				Number of skilled jobs & rate	÷	Green jobs	% of jobs		
	Stationary Energy		Enormy use by fuel/energy time within sity boundary	MMbhoar		of employment		Youth umemployment rate	% of people		
				t CO2 eminatent	Economy	Economic thriving Technological readiness & rate of adoption Local entrepreurship & local businesses / ventures	GDP	Gross Domestic Product			
	Transport and Mobility	Ð			*		Sr.				
			Fuel consumption for in-boundary transportation per fuel type	MJ/kg/kWh							
	Waste and Water	Ŵ	GHG emission from waste	t CO2 equivalent	$ \stackrel{\frown}{\longrightarrow} $		O	New businesses registered			
			Mass of waste processed per end-of-life treatement type within city boundary	t CO2 equivalent			ččř				
			Mass of waste processed per end-of-life treatement type outside city boundary	t CO2 equivalent		Waste management and	A.L.	Recycling rate of municipal waste			
Greenhouse Gas	Industrial Processes and Product Use (IPPU)	P	GHG emission from IPPU	t CO2 equivalent		efficiency					
			Emission generation potential per unit of input/output for industrial processes within the city boundary	CO2 equivalent per kg of production		Deployment of material		Recycling rate for specific material streams			
			Emissions from non-energy product use	T CO2 equivalent		cycles & circular economy	Ĺø	Circular Material Use Rate (CMU)			
	Agriculture, Foresty and	**.	GHG emission from AFOLU	t CO2 equivalent				Resource Productivity	Euro/Weight		
	other Land Use (AFOLU)	\$ *	Net annual rate of change in carbon stocks per hectare of land	t CO2/ha	Resource Efficiency	Water management	-				
$^{\vee}\!$		*	Local RES energy production	MWh	-84-		Ē,				
	Energy Generation	璺	Energy Autonomy		<u> </u>	Sustainable and resilient food production	٨				
			All A sector is a factor and a second of a second		7//		Ħ				
		0.0				Land use management	<u> </u>				
Public Health & Environment	Air quality	le la				practice					
						Urban Forestry, Plantation & Improved Plant Health	16	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area		
	Noise pollution	Ľ]»				Ecological awareness	L.	Citizen's awareness regarding sustainability and the environment			
								Pro-environmental identity			
	Road safety road safety	454				Green ICT and Smart Metering					
								installing smart energy metres % of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of			
	Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect										
	Temperature Increase and Heatwave Incidence				Digitalisation and	EGovernment					
					Technology						
	Physical and mental well	ŝ			~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	Access to information					
	being Liveability, attractiveness & aesthetics of the built environment	25					0				
		. <u>#</u> ľ				Urban Data Platforms	63				
							^	Canital Investor in Climate Action Drojecte	Scale)		
	Equitable 8 affordable					Dublic Sponding	Î				
	access to housing	a.				Public Spending	-9	Budget Assigned to Climate Action Projects	s of City Budget		
			Fuel poverty	% of households	Finance and			Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects per Capita	EUR mousand		
	Citizen & communities' participation	A State	Openness of public participation processes	% of processes	Investment	External Spending		Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects			
	City capacities for		Policy support for promoting climate neutrality	# Number			î.				
	participation / engagement	din a	Citizen involvement in co-creation/co-design of climate neutrality actions	# Number		Capital Efficiency	Ľ,				
	Improved social justice	ΔŤΔ	GINI coefficient			Fiscal Responsibility	- Ser				
Social Inclusion,	Social cohesion, gender,	ດໍດີດໍດີ		Likert (number)	Manulatand						
Innovation,	Functioning of democratic	1111 []			Mandatory/	recommended	1				
Democracy and Cultural Impact Co Benefits	insulutions				indicators (see indicator name)						
	Social Innovation	-) 									
			Empowerment and Inclusion – Inclusion and Collaboration	# Number	Requir	red					
)r #Number (euros)							
	Behavior change towards	(TTT)	climate neutrality Energy consumption per household		Recon						
	low carbon lifestyle and	1 de la	Medal chare of group transport modes and public transport								
	produce	0.0	modul share of green it an sport modes and public it an sport								



Direct Benefits....





MAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR NAME	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT		Investment in R&I	Å	Research intensity			
		A	GHG emission from stationary energy			Number of skilled jobs & rate	Ē	Green jobs	% of jobs		
	Stationary Energy	蛋	Energy use by fuel/energy type within city boundary	MWh/year		of employment		Youth umemployment rate	% of people		
					Economy E	Economic thriving	GDP	Gross Domestic Product	€/cap		
	Transport and Mobility	÷	Fuel consumption for in-boundary transportation per fuel type	MJ/ka/kWh	<u>↑</u> , ,	Technological readiness & rate of adoption	Sr	Adoption rate of key climate neutral technologies			
	Waste and Water		GHG emission from waste	t CO2 equivalent			-				
		ŵ	Make of wasta processed per and of life treatement type within city boundary	t CO2 equivalent		Local entrepreurship & local businesses / ventures					
Greenhouse Gas		ш	Mass of waste processed per end-of-life treatement type while city boundary	t CO2 equivalent							
	Industrial Processes and	em	GHG amission from IDDI	t CO2 equivalent		Waste management and efficiency					
	Product Use (IPPU)		Emission generation potential per unit of input/output for industrial processes within the	CO2 equivalent per kg of							
			city boundary Emissions from non-energy product use	production		Deployment of material cycles & circular economy		Circular Material Use Rate (CMU)			
Emissions (GHG)		<i></i>		t CO2 equivalent		-,,	ζØ				
CO2	Agriculture, Foresty and other Land Use (AFOLU)	•**•	Und emission more around a scheme stocke per bestare of land	t CO2ho	Pagauraa Efficiency						
			Net annual rate of change in carbon stocks per nectare of ranu	LEAD.	Resource Eniciency	Water management	Ť				
	Energy Generation	*#	Cocar Kets energy production	MVN	^{\$}`}	Sustainable and resilient	٥				
		-	Energy Autonomy			food production	A				
						Land una management					
Public Health & Environment	Air quality	ရှိ				practice					
						Urban Forestry, Plantation &	1.5	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area		
	Noise pollution	Ľ,»				Improved Plant Realur	I	Citizen's awareness regarding sustainability and the environment			
						Ecological awareness	L	Pro-environmental identity			
	Road safety road safety	45A				Green ICT and Smart Metering					
							100				
	Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect Temperature Increase and Heatwave Incidence										
					Digitalisation and	EGovernment					
					Smart Urban						
					Technology						
	Physical and mental well being	Ĩ			$\stackrel{\sim}{\longleftrightarrow}$	Access to information					
	Liveability, attractiveness & aesthetics of the built environment				~	Urban Data Distance	, Ch				
		1 <u>1</u>				orban Data Plationns	0.0				
	Equitable & affordable					Public Spending					
	access to housing	ıЩ									
	Citizen & communities'	34	Openness of public participation processes	% of processes	Finance and	External Sponding					
	participation	april 1	Policy support for promotion climate neutrality	# Number		External Spending		Coverage of Climate Finance Gap			
	City capacities for participation / engagement	÷.	Citizen involvement in co-creation/co-design of climate neutrality actions	# Number		Capital Efficiency	×,				
	Improved social justice	1	GINI coefficient	#		Fiscal Responsibility	8				
Social Inclusion	Social cohesion, gender,	8888	Inclusion of different social groups	" Likert (number)							
Innovation,	equality & equity Functioning of democratic		Voter participation	% of neonle	<u>Mandatory/ ı</u>	recommende	d				
Democracy and	institutions		Skills and Capacity Building - Social Innovation Experts	# Number	indicators (s	see indicator na	<u>ame)</u>				
cultural Impact Co Benefits s ငုင်လို ပည်	Social Innovation	-) -) 	Skills and Capacity Building - Social Innovation skills development activities	# Number							
			Empowerment and Inclusion – Inclusion and Collaboration	# Number	Poquir	hed	4				
				f # Number (auros)	- Keyuli	GU					
	Rehavior change towards		climate neutrality	www.	D Pagar						
	low carbon lifestyle and				Kecoli						
		0.0									

DC



...and Co-Benefits





OMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR NAME	UNIT OF MEASUREMENT		Investment in R&I	Å	Research intensity	5
	Stationary Energy	金		t CO2 equivalent	Economy ↑	Number of stand jobs & rate	Ē	Green jobs	% of jobs
		X	Energy use by fuel/energy type within city boundary	MWh/year				Youth umemployment rate	(people
	Transport and Mobility		GHG emission from transport	t CO2 equivalent		Technological readiness &	GDP	Gross Domestic Product	Ccap
	Transport and mobility	6	Fuel consumption for in-boundary transportation per fuel type	MJ/kg/kWh		rate of adoption	gr	Adoption rate of key climate neutral technologies	2
			GHG emission from waste	t CO2 equivalent		Local entrepreurship & local	O	Climate-Neutral City Start-ups	#100.000
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	waste alla water		Mass of waste processed per end-of-life treatement type within city boundary	t CO2 equivalent		businesses / ventures	ĉ		#/100.000
	Industrial Processes and		Mass of waste processed per end-of-life treatement type outside city boundary	t CO2 equivalent		Waste management and		Recycling rate of municipal waste	5
	Product Use (IPPU)	In	GHG emission from IPPU Emission generation potential per unit of input/output for industrial processes within the	t CO2 equivalent		enciency		Recycling rate for specific material streams	
			city boundary	production		Deployment of material	\sim	Circular Material Use Rate (CMU)	
			Emissions from non-energy product use	T CO2 equivalent		cycles & circular economy	ΖØ		Euro/Weight
CO2	Agriculture, Foresty and other Land Use (AFOLU)	*** •	GHG emission from AFOLU	t CO2 equivalent					litres/capita/day
			Local DES anarov production	Мил	esource Eniciency	Water management	<u>ڪ</u>		S
	Energy Generation	*#	Energy Autonomy		\$ ^{\$\$} \$	Sustainable and resilient	0		S
						food production	B		t/cap
Public Health & Environment	Air quality	oll	PM2.5 concentration levels	µg/m3		Land use management	(Lenger)		m³/capita/year
			PM10 concentration levels	# of days		practice			% of km2
	Noise pollution Road safety road safety	\$ ¢	NO2 concentration levels	µgí m3		Urban Forestry, Plantation & Improved Plant Health		Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
			% of population exposed to night-time noise (Lnight) >= 50 dB					Citizen's awareness regarding sustainability and the environment	Likert scale
				79 H of double () 000 inhubits		Ecological awareness	Ž		Likert scale
				# of deaths / 1000 to 2000 trin					% of households
	Urban Heat Island (UHI) effect Temperature Increase and Heatwave Incidence			°C UHImax	Ň	Green IC1 and Smart Metering EGovernment			% of households
				•с тхх					% of public buildings
				*C TNN	Digitalisation and Smart Urban				
				# of HW in summer	Technology				
	Physical and mental well being	Ţ,				Access to information			# of Private Datasets Shared with the City / Local Authority
	Liveability, attractiveness & aesthetics of the built environment Equitable & affordable access to housing					Urban Data Platforms	A.		# Users /Day
						Public Spending	άτφ	User Satisfaction with Urban Data Platforms	User Satisfaction Score (Likert Scale)
									EUR million
									% of City Budget
					Finance		_		EUR thousand
	Citizen & communities' participation	A.	Openness of public participation processes	% of processes	Investmen	External Spending	▋ &	Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR million
	City capacities for		Policy support for promoting climate neutrality	# Number		Capital Efficiency	Î∨		5 of Capital Development
	participation / engagement	±1	Citizen involvement in co-creation/co-design of climate neutrality actions	# Number		Fise Pesponsibility			Security Covered
	Improved social justice	ΔŢΔ	GINI coefficient	*		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Social Inclusion,	equality & equity	ônôn	Inclusion of different social groups	Likert (number)	Mandatory/ r	recommended			
Temocracy and	institutions	tt:	Voter participation	% of people	indicators (s	see indicator na	ne)		
Cultural Impact Co				# Number					
rnefits s کی کی	Social Innovation	-)@	skins and capacity building - Social Innovation skills development activities	# Num	Poquir	ed			
			Funding for Social Innovation initiatives for climatFunding for Social Innovation initiatives for	r rumber (euros)	- Require	eu			
	havior change towards	Ē	climate neutrality Energy consumption per household	KWh	Recom				
	low compared lifestyle and practice		Modal share of green transport modes and public transport						

The difference between the monitoring Mission City actions and Pilot activities



- Aligned with EU Mission
- Described in CNC Action Plan
- Strategic
- Timeline: 2030

- Resposing to local needs
- VERY specific
- Implementation-oriented
- Timeline: Two years after project kick-off



										Indiactory for
										indicators for
										Mandato
									% # #/100.000	Optional
						Mainstreaming of new economic models like proximity & sharing economy		Innovation hubs	# of innovation hubs / 100,000	
								Recycling rate of municipal waste Recycling rate for specific materia streams Circular Material Use Rate (CMU)		
	Reduced noise pollution	М	% of adult population with high Sleep Disturbance	fica	tior		1	esource Productivity	nd	cator
	Increased road safety	\$5\$	Road Deaths Traffic safety active modes	# of deaths / 100,000 # of deaths / 1000,000,000of trips		Sustainable food production	J.	Local food production	% Vcap	
	Reduced heat island effect			°C UHImax	/ste	mn	e			
	Enhanced liveability, attractiveness & aesthetics of the built environment		Green Spaces Quality of public spaces	hectares / 100,000		practice	**	Browniald use Energy Independence	% of km2 %	
	Equitable & affordable access to housing		Affordability of Housing	% of households % of households		Energy Increased Urban Forestry, Plantation & Improved Plant		Increase in local renewable energy production Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% in kWh % of the municipal area	
			Give coefficient #							
				# of OGD data sets on climate neutrality shared Likert scale						
	Behavior change towards low carbon lifestyle and practice			kWh %						

Mandatory

Pilot City Indicators for Direct Benefits




GHG Emissions (12 indicators)



DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
	Total GHG emissions	$(\bigcirc \bigcirc$	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Stationary energy	套	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Transport	₿	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Waste	Ŵ	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Industrial processes and product use		GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	*	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	⊞l	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	Energy Consumption		Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
$\downarrow \uparrow \downarrow$	Energy Efficiency	{¢}}	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
	Share of Renewable Energies		Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
	Carbon capture and residual emissions		Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
	GHG emissions (Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the project	t CO2 equivalents / year



Pilot City Indicators for Co-Benefits







Public Health & Environment

DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN	INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concentration recorded [$\mu g PM2.5$ /m²]
Public Health &	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN > 55dB (annual average)
Environment	Health 🕺	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined in local survey
	Quality of Life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined in local survey



Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact

DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
	Citizen & Communities Participation	A REAL	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot activities
	Capacity of the public administration		Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the Pilot activities
Social Inclusion,	Social cohesion	ŶĨŶĨ	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spent on housing and energy
Innovation, Democracy and	Digitalisation	5403 5403 5403	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	-) -) -	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the project		Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
	Upscaling & Replication	,∰ ∭+∰	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects





Economy

DOMAIN	N SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
	Investment in R&I	Å	Improved investments in climate change action	€ invested over the lifetime of the pilot project
	Skilled Jobs & Employment	•	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
	Technological readiness	gr	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over the lifetime of the project
Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses		Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the lifetime of the project
	Increase in Efficiency		Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
	Revenues generated	3	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the project excluding funding





Resource Efficiency

DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
	Waste management and efficiency		Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the total domestic waste generation
Resource	Circular Economy	Źð	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	% of recycled construction material of the total construction material used in the process
Efficiency	Water Management		Improved water management	Household water consumption [l /capita/day]
	Land use management		Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m² of public green space / inhabitant





Biodiversity

DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN	INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	Degree of physical ("structural") connectivity between natural environments within a defined urban area



Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology



DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN		INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology 	Green ICT and Smart Metering		% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a consequence of installing smart energy meters	% of households
			% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
			% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
	EGovernment	Î	% of city services available online	% of total services
	Access to information		Business-to-government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the City / Local Authority
	Urban Data Platforms		Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Users / Day



Finance and Investment



DOMAIN	SUBDOMAIN	INDICATOR	SUGGESTED UNIT OF MEASUREMENT
Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects per Capita	EUR thousand
	External Financing	Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR million
	Capital Efficiency	Emission Return on Invested Capital	EUR million



Indicators for Pilots



Projectspecific Customised Indicators



Urban Data Platform

C Usage of Urban Data Platforms

Users /Dag





7 Steps towards successful Pilot Monitoring

- 1. Check the list of indicators provided by NetZeroCities and select those indicators that are relevant for your project
- 2. Do not forget to include indicators on the climate effect / GHG emission reduction, this is mandatory!
- 3. Define additional indicators that you consider relevant to assess tangible impacts of your project.
- 4. Get feedback from the NetZeroCities PCP team and update your indicator system
- 5. Check the availability of the data sets necessary to calculate the indicators
- 6. Define responsibilities in your local team and organize the streams of data
- 7. Kick-off data collection after successful selection and initiate impact assessment!













Guided Tour: Filling the Impact Framework template

Section 1 (GHG impact) & Section 2 (Co-benefits)





Before we take a tour of the Impact Framework template...



- See it as your canvas for detailing your impact pathway to achieve the vision...
- Then fill in the template with the details of what you intend to measure, and how?
- ...and, in order to drive this, what you will target in the timeline of the Pilot activities
 (2 years) to test your impact hypothesis/assumptions and learn from this journey...

But please bear in mind the Assessment Criteria in the Call Guidelines!

Ultimately, it is against these points that your Impact Framework will be assessed in the application stage.

Following selection, we will work with you to refine your impact framework, and what/how you will measure progress, impact, outcomes (to learn)



What does Impact Framework template cover?



Call for Proposals: Call for Pilot Cities, Cohort 3 (2024) – NetZeroCities

Impact Section Template

Name of Your Project/City

This document covers proposals for funding under Horizon Europe, Grant Agreement number: HORIZON-RIA-SGA-NZC-101121530

Call Opens: 16 January 2024, 12.00 CET

Deadline: 18 March 2024, 17.00 CET

Call ID: NZC-SGA-HE-202401

Publication Date: 16 January 2024

netzerocities.eu

Table of Contents

Intro	duction to NZC PCP Impact Framework Template	1
1	Direct Impacts	3
1.1	Long-term GHG Impacts (Standardised)	3
1.2	2 Long-term GHG Impacts (Customised according to city/project)	7
2	Indirect Impacts or Co-benefits	3
2.1	Co-benefits (Standardised)	3
2.2	2 Co-benefits (Customised according to city/project)	9
3	Outcomes to unlock pathways to climate-neutrality)
3.1	Early and Later Outcomes (Customised according to city/project))



Direct Impacts Section



1 Direct Impacts

Question: How are the Pilot activities expected to reduce the city's GHG emissions? What is the intended impact and emissions decrease profile, over the duration of the Pilot activities, and as a proportion of the city's overall emissions profile? (Up to 500 words)

Please use the following section to capture the specific GHG and non-GHG long-term impacts and indicators for your Pilot activities or interventions.

1.1 Long-term GHG Impacts (Standardised)

Please use this section to capture the GHG and non-GHG long-term impacts of your Pilot activities or interventions and refer to NZC PCP Indicator Set for further details.

Activity or Intervention name	GHG Emission Domain	Emission Sub-domain	Quantitative indicator	Metric/unit of measurement (How will this impact be measured?)
Please add as applicable	 Select one or more from – All vehicles and transport (mobile energy) Consumption of electricity generated for buildings, facilities, & infrastructure Consumption of non- electricity energy for thermal uses in buildings & facilities Land use (including agriculture, forestry, and other land uses) Multi-sector waste management and disposal Industrial process emissions 	Select from as applicable – GHG emissions Total GHG emissions Stationary energy Transport Waste Industrial processes and product use Agriculture, forestry, and land use (AFOLU) Grid supplied <u>energy</u> Energy Consumption Energy Efficiency Share of Renewable Energies Carbon capture and residual emissions	Select from the suggested list of 12 indicators in NZC PCP Indicator Set as applicable	Select from suggested list of units in NZC PCP Indicator Set or add your own as applicable



PCP Indicator Set (45 Standardised Indicators to select from)

	GHG Emissions/Impact Domain	Subdomain	Indicator	Suggested Unit of Measurement
	1 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	3 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	4 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	5 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	6 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	7 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	8 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
	9 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
	10 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
	11 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
	12 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	IGHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the p	t CO2 equivalents / year
	13 Public Health and Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concentr
	14 Public Health and Environment	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN >
	15 Public Health and Environment	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
	16 Public Health and Environment	Quality of life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale; 5 scales to be determined
	17 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot :
	18 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Capacity of the public administration	Improvement in skills and awareness	# of public officers trained through the
	19 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social cohesion	Affordability of housing and energy	% of disposable household income spe
	20 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Digitalisation	Improved acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
	21 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
	22 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Scientific or Communication Outreach of the project	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
	23 Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
			% of households and buildings with reduced energy consumption as a	
	24 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	consequence of installing smart energy metres	% of households
			% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a	
	25 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	consequence of installing smart water meters	% of households
	26 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
	27 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	EGovernment	% of city services available online	% increase of total services
	28 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Access to information	Business-to-Government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets Shared with the Ci
	29 Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Urban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Active Users / Day
	30 Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	€ invested over the lifetime of the pilot
_	31 Economy	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
_	32 Economy	Technological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over th
_	33 Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the li
_	34 Economy	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
_	35 Economy	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the project
_	36 Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Public Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR thousand/million or % increase
_	37 Finance and Investment	External Financing	Capital Attracted and Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR thousand/million or % increase
_	38 Finance and Investment	Capital Efficiency	Emission Reductions Return on Invested Capital	EUR thousand/million [Total Capital Inv
	39 Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the tota
				% of recycled construction material of th
_	40 Resource Efficiency	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	used in the process
	41 Resource Efficiency	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [litres/c
	42 Resource Efficiency	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m ⁺ of public green space / inhabitant
Funded	43 Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
the Eurc	44 Blodiversity	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	unange in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
				Degree of physical ("structural") connec
	45 Biodiversity	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	environments within a defined urban a

Less is more!

Direct Impacts Section



1.2 Long-term GHG Impacts (Customised according to city/project)

Please use this section to capture the quantitative GHG impacts of your Pilot activities or interventions (those not included in NZC PCP Indicator Set).

Activity or Intervention name	GHG Emission Domain	Emission Sub-domain	Quantitative indicator	Metric/unit of measurement (How will this impact be measured?)
Please add as applicable	 Select one or more from – All vehicles and transport (mobile energy) Consumption of electricity generated for buildings, facilities, & infrastructure Consumption of non- electricity energy for thermal uses in buildings & facilities Land use (including agriculture, forestry, and other land uses) Multi-sector waste management and disposal Industrial process emissions 	Please add your own as applicable	Please add your own as applicable	Please add your own as applicable
Please add/remove rows as applicable				



Co-benefits Section



2 Indirect Impacts or Co-benefits

Question: Which co-benefits or other indirect long-term impacts do the Pilot activities expect to achieve in your city, in addition to GHG-emissions reduction? (Up to 500 words)

Please use the following section to capture the specific co-benefits or long-term indirect impacts of your Pilot activities.

2.1 Co-benefits (Standardised)

Please use this section to capture the co-benefits of your Pilot activities or interventions and refer to NZC PCP Indicator Set for further details.

Activity or Intervention Name	Domain	Sub-domain	Quantitative or qualitative indicator	Metric/unit of measurement (How will this impact be measured?)
Please add as applicable	Select from as applicable – Public Health and environment Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology Economy Finance and Investment Resource efficiency Biodiversity	Select from 31 recommended Co- benefit Sub-domains from the <u>NZC PCP</u> <u>Indicator Set</u> (please see excel spreadsheet in the Application Templates section of the Call website)	Select from the suggested list of 33 indicators in NZC PCP Indicator Set or add your own as applicable	Select from suggested list of units in NZC PCP Indicator Set or add your own as applicable
Please add/remove rows as applicable				
2				



Co-benefits Section



2.2 Co-benefits (Customised according to city/project)

Please use the following section to capture the Co-benefits of your Pilot activities or interventions (those not included in NZC PCP Indicator Set).

Activity or Intervention name	Describe Co-benefit related to this activity or intervention	Emission Domain(s)	Lever(s)	Custom quantitative or qualitative indicator	Custom metric/unit of measurement (How will this impact be measured?)
Please add as applicable	Please add your own as applicable	 Select one or more as applicable – All vehicles and transport (mobile energy) Consumption of electricity generated for buildings, facilities, & infrastructure Consumption of non- electricity energy for thermal uses in buildings & facilities Land use (including agriculture, forestry, and other land uses) Multi-sector waste management and disposal Industrial process emissions 	Select one or more as applicable – • Technology and infrastructure • Governance and policy • Financing and funding • Social innovation • Democracy and participation • Learning and capabilities • Data and digitalisation • Procurement	Please add your own as applicable	Please add your own as applicable
Please add/remove rows as applicable					



PCP Indicator Set (45 Standardised Indicators to select from)

		GHG Emissions/Impact Domain	Subdomain	Indicator	Suggested Unit of Measurement
	1	l Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Total GHG emissions	Total greenhouse gas emissions per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	2	2 Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Stationary energy	GHG emission per year from stationary energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	3	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Transport	GHG emission from transport per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	4	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Waste	GHG emission from waste per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	5	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Industrial processes and product use	GHG emission from industrial processes and product use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	6	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Agriculture, forestry and land use (AFOLU)	GHG emission from agriculture, forestry and land use per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	7	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Grid supplied energy	GHG emission from grid supplied energy per year	t CO2 equivalents / year
	8	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Consumption	Change in the total energy consumption per year	kWh/year
	9	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Energy Efficiency	Change in energy efficiency over the lifetime of the project	%
	10	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Share of Renewable Energies	Change in the energy mix over the lifetime of the project	%
	11	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	Carbon capture and residual emissions	Amount of permanent sequestration of GHG within city boundary	t CO2 equivalents / year
	12	Greenhouse Gas Emissions (GHG)	GHG emissions	Change of the greenhouse gas emissions per sector during the lifetime of the r	t CO2 equivalents / year
		Public Health and Environment	Air quality	Improved air quality	Highest annual mean of PM2.5 concent
	14	Public Health and Environment	Noise	Reduction of noise pollution	% of population exposed to avg. LDEN >
	15	Public Health and Environment	Health	Improved physical and mental wellbeing	likert scale: 5 scales to be determined
	16	Public Health and Environment	Quality of life	Perceived change in the quality of life	Likert scale: 5 scales to be determined
	17	Social Inclusion Innovation Democracy and Cultural Impact	Citizen & Communities Participation	Improved citizen participation	# of citizens engaged through the Pilot
	10	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Conscituted the public administration	Improved citizen participation	# of public officers trained through the
	10	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Capacity of the public administration	Affordability of bousing and operate	* of disposable household income see
	19	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Disitalization	Anordability of housing and energy	total # of users per digital solution
	20	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Digitalisation	Imployed acceptance of digital solutions	total # of users per digital solution
	21	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Social Innovation	Number of participative activities implemented per stakeholder group	total # of counseled activities
	22	Social Inclusion, Innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Scientific or communication Outreach of the project	Scientific publications, social campaigns etc	total # of scientific publications
	Z3	Social inclusion, innovation, Democracy and Cultural Impact	Upscaling & Replication	Number of follow-up projects or districts	total # of follow-up projects
	24	Digitalization and Smart Urban Technology	Groop ICT and Smort Metering	sonsonuerse of installing smart energy metror	% of hour cholds
	24	Digitalisation and small of ban reciniology		of bourseholds and buildings with reduced water consumption as a	76 OF HOUSEHOLUS
	- 25	Disitalization and Const Urban Taskanlany	Cross ICT and Smoot Materian	% of households and buildings with reduced water consumption as a	9/ of hourscholds
	20	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green ICT and Smart Metering	consequence of installing smart water meters	% of nublic buildings
	20	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Green for and smart Metering	% of municipal buildings equipped with building energy management systems	% of public buildings
4	2/	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Loovernment	% of city services available online	% Increase of total services
	20	Digitalisation and Smart Orban Technology	Access to information	Business-to-Government (B2G) data sharing	# of Private Datasets shared with the ci
	29	Digitalisation and Smart Urban Technology	Orban Data Platforms	Usage of Urban Data Platforms	# Active Users / Day
	30	Economy	Investment in R&I	Improved investments in climate change action	E invested over the lifetime of the pilot
	31	LEconomy	Skilled Jobs & Employment	Newly created sustainable jobs	total # of newly created jobs
	32	2 Economy	lechnological readiness	Number of solutions suggested for implementation in local strategies	total # of impemented solutions over t
	33	Economy	Local Entrepreneurship & Local Businesses	Creation of Start-ups, accelerators or tech innovation	total # of start ups created during the l
	34	Economy	Increase in Efficiency	Savings in working time achieved	Working hours / per year saved
	35	Economy	Revenues generated	Revenues generated by the project	total € during the lifetime of the projec
	36	Finance and Investment	Public Spending	Public Capital Invested in Climate Action Projects	EUR thousand/million or % increase
	37	Finance and Investment	External Financing	Capital Attracted and Invested in Climate Action Projects from External Finance	EUR thousand/million or % increase
	38	Finance and Investment	Capital Efficiency	Emission Reductions Return on Invested Capital	EUR thousand/million [Total Capital In
	39	Resource Efficiency	Waste management and efficiency	Urban waste reduction; Biowaste recovery	% of recycled domestic waste of the tot
					% of recycled construction material of t
	40	Resource Efficiency	Circular Economy	Re-use of material during construction or renovation	used in the process
	41	Resource Efficiency	Water Management	Improved water management	Household water consumption [litres/d
	42	Resource Efficiency	Land use management	Improved land use management practices (e.g. urban greening)	m ² of public green space / inhabitant
Funded	43	Biodiversity	Urban Forestry Plantation and Improved Plant Health	Percentage of tree canopy within the city	% of the municipal area
the Lur	44	Biodiversity	Non-Invasive Species and Pollinators	Change in the number of species of birds in built-up areas	% of change in species
					Degree of physical ("structural") connec
	45	Biodiversity	Ecological Habitat Connection	Structural connectivity of green spaces	environments within a defined urban a

Less is more!











Creating a Sensemaking and Learning process to put insights into practice

Learning (& applying) while doing

Nikhil Chaudhary, EIT Climate KIC



Traditional planning and reporting results...







Monitoring and intervening in non-linear processes and complexity...













Sensemaking as a continuous learning process to...



Mission 2030

11 m

Relevance gar

Learning

11110

Sensemaking: A <u>structured</u> <u>social process</u> of observation, reflection, synthesis, pattern-finding and **generating insights** to enable *decision-making* & *reflexive governance*.

- Based on key learning questions/goals
- Periodic Learning cycles and insight reports to enable reframing original assumptions/logic through testing
- A range of co-creation methods based on purpose & learning objectives



Funded by the European Union

What do we mean by 'Strategic Learning' for NZC PCP?

- Understand what works, in what contexts, for whom and why?
- Support direct and rapid course correction of decisionmaking and testing
- Link to building of **capabilities/ capacities** of all stakeholders
- Evaluate and generate evidence/knowledge on the scalability and transferability of interventions across contexts
- Enable knowledge sharing with the network to learn collectively (also from failures and barriers)
- Reflect on 'how' stakeholders learn through sensemaking cycles and 'learning goals'





Mixed methods evidence for MEL





... to measure & learn from what matters

John Doerr



Impact Framework to support your MEL







What does this mean for NZC learning activities?



Traditional Project Cycle





Strategic Learning Cycle supported by NZC PCP







...to move from (only) data reporting to generating insights and wisdom!





Cartoon by David Somerville





Guided Tour: Filling the Impact Framework template

Section 3 (Early & Later Outcomes aka Impact Pathways)





Outcomes Section 3 (descriptive text)



3 Outcomes to unlock pathways to climate-neutrality

Question: What or how do you think the Pilot activities will enable change in your city within and beyond their direct scope, on your pathway towards climate-neutrality? (Up to 750 words)

Please use the following section to outline your qualitative outcomes based on your Pilot activities. These descriptive outcomes should ideally also cover the changes beyond the direct scope of Pilot activities, for e.g., how will the long-term change and its momentum be sustained beyond the 2-year project timeline? For detailed explanations on Impact Pathways and what do we mean by Early (short-term) or Later (medium-term) Outcomes, please refer to the 'NZC Theory of Change' or previous webinars on the topic of 'impact <u>pathways'</u> or 'MEL' on the NZC Portal.

3.1 Early and Later Outcomes (Customised according to city/project)

Activity or Intervention name	Select relevant Lever(s) of Change	Describe an Early Outcome related to this activity or intervention.	Describe a Later Outcome related to this activity or intervention, beyond the direct scope of the activity.
Please add as applicable	Select one or more as applicable – • Technology and infrastructure • Governance and policy • Financing and funding • Social innovation • Democracy and participation • Capacities and capabilities • Data and digitalisation • Procurement	Please describe as applicable	Please describe as applicable
Please add/remove rows as applicable			



A Useful Resource

- Selecting key outcomes based on systemic levers (over 150 outcomes mapped by NZC)
- Guidance on how to operationalise your impact pathways for MEL & Sensemaking
- Framing your impact narrative for consensus-building & communication on systemic climate-neutrality

Please contact your City Advisor for a copy



Version N*1

from NetZeroCities Consortium.

This project has received funding from the H2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the grant agreement n° 101036519.

NetZeroCities

Theory of Change

Deliverable D2.14

Authors: Nikhil Chaudhary, Penny Hawkins, Carla Alviai Palavicino (EIT Climate-KiC), with inputs

NET ZERO CITIES D2.14 Theory of Change

NET ZERO CITIES

Impact pathway 4: Democracy and participation

Impact narrative

Impact narrative

The city initiates this pathway by understanding the critical role and needs of citizens and communities for building the 'backbone' infrastructure' to enable democratic citimate action. To radically multiply engaged actors, the city invests efforts in including diverse and especially marginalised actors and builds coalitions with clear aims and roles within the citimate-neutrality mission. These participation efforts are supported by allocating essential resources and funding dedicated to cross-sectoral activities.

Consequently, as Early Changes, distributed networks of motivated communities emerge, with the dity building capacities to successfully assume the role of orchestrating (instead of managing) emerging dimate actions. This is followed by the co-design and implementation of democratic innovations (e.g., ditzens councils, climate assemblies) that set up collaborative processes and spaces/forums for dialogue, deliberation, and consensus-building. As a result, strategic recommendations, shared narratives and collective visions are co-created and disseminated to firmly embed long-term goals for democratic action.

In terms of Later Outcomes, the cross-polination between diverse sets of engaged actors leads to consensus-building & inform to citizens' inputs to policy and governance. At the same time, deliberative democracy tested through NZC actions legitimises its practice through city's portfolio of actions (like Pilot initiatives, Mission-pians). As citizens' inputs are accepted and implemented with co-benefits and tangible effects becoming visible, participative processes result in mutual trust and accountability for both the city as and the citizens. Action-learning and socialising of outcomes eventually enables institutionalisation of participatory cuture/practices, scaling up from the grassroots, and more inclusive climate actions.

The following table summarises the impact logic for this lever as a suggested set of entry-points, outcomes, and impacts for cities to consider, modify or add additional ones as applicable to their specific contexts:

Entry Points (EP)	Points Early Changes (EC) (P)		Later Outcomes (LO)		Impacts (I)	
2022-23 1 to 2 Years		3 to 4 Years		5 Years (and up to 2030)		
EP4.1 Build understanding of needs for centring of citizens & communities' critical role in city's climate action	EC4.1 Inclusive knowledge helps across cutural contents actively shape the design and implementation of climate actions	EC4.5 Networks built, resourced, and start to show results, while ensuring orchestration role of the city	LO4.1 Democratic innovations and deliberative democracy tested and legtimised in practice through oity's portfolio	LO4.6 Distributed governance makes decision -makers accept & trust ofizens' capacities to tackle and support complex issues	14.1 Democratic climate actions are befter resourced as a long-term priority by the city	
EP4.2 Radically multiply the number of actors and enable the whole city acceystem to contribute to the climate transition	EC4.2 Coalitions of actors with real stakes & historically left out) brought together, have clearly defined roles to co- develop and co-implement colimate actions	EC4.6 Democratic Innovation establishes collaborative processes and spaceforums for – dialogue, deliberation, deep listening, and consensus- building	LO4.2 Cross- polination between diverse sets of engaged actors leads to consensus- building & inform to ottozens' inputs to policy and governance	LO4.7 Citizen engagement and input enables decisionmakens to take a long- term approach beyond election cycles and feel confident in experimental approaches	14.2 increased competencies, capabilities for democratic climate action for continuous & orgoing systems change	

Outcomes table

This project has received funding from the H2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the grant agreement n° 101036519.

17




Any final questions or comments?







Q&A

The Call & System







Summary

- Prepare / navigate (recommendations):
 - **Register** with the Submission system familiarise yourself with the set-up and invite collaborators. Read the guidance. Ask questions!
 - <u>Attend</u> the webinars
 - Download the templates and share with colleagues / collaborators.
- Support:
- <u>pilotcities@netzerocities.eu</u>
 - Feel free to consult the FAQ and Instructions here or use the system's ticketing system if you have any technical issues/questions





Thank you!

pilotcities@netzerocities.eu





Get in touch with NetZeroCities!



Ő

NetZeroCities

NetZeroCitiesEU



NetZeroCities EU



www.netzerocities.eu



hello@netzerocities.eu

