



NZC Winter School
Co-creating Impact Pathways and Indicators for your
City's Climate-neutrality Transition: A 7-Step Process



The 7-step co-creation process for today



- STEP 1: Identify Emission Domains
- STEP 2: Identify Systemic Levers of Change
- STEP 3: Identify Early Outcomes
- **STEP 4:** Identify Later Outcomes
- STEP 5: Identify and Classify Impacts (Direct Impacts & Co-benefits)
- STEP 6: Find purpose/use of Data & Insights for MEL
- STEP 7: Select Indicators to Measure Direct Impacts & Co-benefits



STEP 1: Identify Emission Domains



- Has my city prepared an inventory in the last 5 years/reported a GHG emission inventory in MyCovenant or CDP-ICLEI Track based on the accounting year 2018 or more recent?
- Are there any other inventories being developed by your city? Are separate inventories being used for reporting vs. action planning internally?
- How has your city identified the most critical emissions domains (how was this focus reached?) (Largest emitters, Science-based Targets, policy/political conditions etc.)
- How could this larger Emission Domain be further reframed as a specific sub-sector or specific actions at the city-level?



STEP 2: Identify Systemic Levers of Change



- How is your city balancing the city-wide needs in terms of emission reduction through place-based interventions with citizens and communities?
- Do the selected actions show the interdependence of problems across multiple types of levers in the city-wide context?
- Do the actions identify opportunities for synergistic actions in the city-wide context?
 (Which are the cross-cutting actions that apply across all the Emission Domains identified?)
- Where are the gaps or barriers for each Lever of Change? Which Levers are less developed and need the most efforts to address?



STEP 3: Identify Early Outcomes



- Which are the quick wins or long-hanging fruits gained from the implementation?
- Which necessary and short-term outcomes are within the direct mandate of the city? (Which changes relate with <u>"transformation inside"</u> the municipality?
- Does the city adequate capacity, policies or organisational set up to implement this
 activity? What could negatively affect this capacity or which capacities are needed to be newly
 developed?
- Early Outcomes describe who is affected by your intervention. For whom is your intervention designed? How much does your target group need to change – or who are the missing actors that need to be reached?
- Which outputs will your city produce along the way? Early Outcomes could also describe physical, digital, or knowledge-based infrastructure that are made along the way.



STEP 4: Identify Later Outcomes



- What are the Early Outcomes going to lead to or result in? What effect are they going to have at the city-wide scale?
- What is a realistic timeline for these results to become visible?
- What is the <u>transformation outside</u> the city's mandate that starts emerging as a result of Early Outcomes?
- What are the target outcomes around which you can define possible success based on the actions identified in the portfolio?
- Later Outcomes should be specific and measurable and can be tracked through activity monitoring to signal progress. Can you identify these for your city?



Starting points for co-creating Impact Pathways



- What are the specific changes (outcomes) is the Action Plan seeking?
- How are the outcomes related to your city's climate-neutrality vision? (What is the threshold of 'success'?)
- Which co-benefits/impacts is the Action Plan aiming to achieve?
- When does the Action Plan expect to achieve these changes (timeline earlier or later)?
- Where and under what conditions/contexts is this going to happen? What are the necessary preconditions for the later outcomes?
- Who will be affected from the Actions and how? (or who might benefit?)
- How do you think implementation will work in practice and how will one change lead to another?
- Which direct impacts and co-benefits occur during the changes begin to happen?
- What will your city and stakeholders and other partners do to make the changes happen (activities or actions)?
- Are there any barriers that may prevent making these changes happen? (risks & assumptions)



Check-list for finalising Impact Pathways within the template



- Does this set of outcomes sufficiently capture the intent or goal of the Action Plan? If not, what's missing?
- Are the outcomes clearly and specifically defined? (i.e., one outcome statement)
- Are there any gaps in the impact pathways? (e.g., is there an intermediate outcome that needs to be included)
- Are the causal links as mechanisms for change clear? Can they be explained as a story?
- What's the evidence that supports the links between the various Impact Pathway elements? Any existing evidence or data sources? If not, what are the evidence gaps?
- How do the planned activities/outputs connect and contribute to the outcomes?
- Which are the common outcomes across multiple levers and fields of action?
- How could similar outcomes be clustered or combined as bold strategic objectives for coordinated actions?







NZC Winter School
Co-creating Impact Pathways and Indicators for your
City's Climate-neutrality Transition: A 7-Step Process



STEP 5: Identify and Classify Impacts



- Where in the GHG emissions inventory do you see change happening based on selected activities?
- Which scope of emissions do you see getting impacted?
- What potential changes in the current practice of climate neutrality action identification and classification are needed in your city to meet requirements of the 2030 Climate Action Plan better?
- In addition to GHG reduction, which are the **tangible or visible impacts** that can signal positive change in the lives of citizens and communities? (How can regular citizen start seeing and experiencing climate-neutrality in their day-to-day lives?)



STEP 6: Use the Data & Insights for MEL



- How could you describe the current state of the climate neutrality performance data availability in your city? What are the key characteristics of the currently available climate neutrality performance data?
- How could you describe the current practice and system of the climate neutrality performance data management in your city? What are the key characteristics of the current climate neutrality performance data management system?
- What potential changes in the current practice of climate performance data management system do you foresee needed in your city to meet requirements of the 2030 Climate Neutrality Action Plan better?

STEP 6: Use the Data & Insights for MEL



Goal of data management and indicators

- What kind of climate neutrality action data does your city already have?
- What are the most important parts of your city's climate neutrality action system you want to monitor?
- How could you define the goal of your city's climate neutrality action monitoring system you want to achieve?
- How would you like to use the climate neutrality action monitoring system and data in your city day-to-day activities and strategic decision-making?

Selection and refinement of KPIs

- What data points can you use to measure this change?
- Is the city able to address all gases as defined by the mission through these changes?
- Which stakeholders do you need for the monitoring of this KPI?
- Do you see the relevance of this KPI across different stakeholder groups involved in the city transition?
- Is this KPI realistic to monitor regularly (annually/once every two years) for the city?



STEP 7: Select Indicators to Measure impacts



Relevance of Sub-Domains for Measuring Impact (NZC Indicator Set)

Which NZC Sub-Domains are the most relevant for measuring the Impact of your CCC Action Plan?

Relevance of Indicators for Measuring Impact

 Do you expect that the indicators presented in the NZC Indicator Set will adequately measure the Impact of your CCC Action Plan?

Accessibility and Availability of Data Sources

 Do you expect to have the necessary data sources easily available/accessible to your city in order to apply the proposed indicators?

Calculation of Indicators

 Once your city has acquired the relevant data to apply the indicator, how easy or difficult do you consider it will be to calculate the indicator?



STEP 7: Select Indicators to Measure impacts



Connecting activities and direct impacts to co-benefits

- What intended or unintended changes do you foresee happening based on selected activities?
- Which aspect of indirect impacts do you see changing? For example, biodiversity, economy, environmental/public health, resource efficiency and social inclusion.
- What activity relates to these changes?

Selection and refinement of indicators

- Is this indicator realistic to monitor regularly (annually/once every two years) for the city?
- Which stakeholders do you need for the monitoring of this key performance indicator?
- Do you see the relevance of this key performance indicator across different stakeholder groups involved in the city transition?



STEP 7: Select Indicators to Measure Impacts



- How could you describe the current practice of monitoring and evaluation of the climate neutrality performance in your city? What are the key characteristics of the current practice?
- How could you describe the current practice of climate neutrality performance indicator selection in your city? What are the key characteristics of the current practice?
- What potential changes in the current practice of climate neutrality action monitoring, evaluation, and indicator selection do you foresee needed in your city to meet requirements of the 2030 Climate Neutrality Action Plan better?